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# **1 General**

VLR citation rules: Subject to the modifications below, the VLR citation rules are generally guided by OSCOLA. In case our citation rules do not address a particular formatting question, refer to OSCOLA at <https://www.law.ox.ac.uk/sites/default/files/migrated/oscola_4th_edn_hart_2012.pdf>

Information on the author: The initial footnote (a note attached to the author’s name by an asterisk) should contain a short description of the author. This may include the current or last occupation, a link to the biography of the author, and a current e-mail address.

# **2 Formatting**

Please use our **template** for the layout of the text.

Language: The language of the whole document should be set to either English (US) or English (UK).

Abbreviations: Avoid abbreviations in the text and spell out entire words instead. You may, however, make use of common acronyms (e.g. EU).

Foreign words: Italicise foreign words in the text.

Emphasizing terms: Italicise important words if you want to emphasize them. Emphasize terms sparingly. Names should never be italicised.

Footnotes: Place the footnote at the end of the sentence directly after the last punctuation mark, unless the footnote refers to a specific word or phrase. In this case, place the footnote directly after the specific word or phrase in question rather than after the final punctuation mark, even if this specific word or phrase is at the end of the sentence.

Quotations: Use inverted commas and do not italicise the text. Indent a block quote of approx. 40 words or more.

Translation: An excerpted text in a foreign language (e.g. Latin) has to be followed by the translation in a separate paragraph.

# **3 Citations**

## **3.1 Primary sources**

Legislation: Legislation is cited with reference to the relevant amendment. The first citation includes an indication (if available) of where it can be accessed online.

1. § 1209 ABGB as amended by the GesbR-RG, Austrian Federal OJ I 2014/83; all Austrian federal statutes can be accessed via <https://www.ris.bka.gv.at/Bund/> with their title, amendments can be found by their OJ number.

Cases: Cases are cited according to national standards but should at least contain the decision date, case name or number and all information necessary to find the case via common legal databases. Furthermore, they should clearly indicate the jurisdiction and deciding court. The first reference to cases of a jurisdiction should provide a guide to accessing the case(s), in this case it is not necessary to include other sources (e.g. reprints in journals). Contextualization should be given in the text (e.g. ‘the Austrian court of last resort in civil cases’).

1. Austrian OGH 15 March 2012, 6 Ob 28/12d; all decisions of the Austrian OGH can be accessed via http://ris.bka.gv.at/Jus/ with their case number.
2. *Juszczyszyn v Poland* App no 35599/20 (ECtHR, 6 October 2022), para. 307; decisions of the ECtHR can be accessed via https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng with their case number or party names.
3. CJEU Case C–110/21 P *Universität Bremen/ REA*, 14 July 2022, ECLI:EU:C:2022:555, paras. 39 ff decisions of the CJEU can be accessed via https://curia.europa.eu/juris with their ECLI, case number or party names.
4. CJEU Case C–110/21 P *Universität Bremen/ REA*, 24 February 2022, ECLI:EU:C:2022:133, Opinion of AG Emiliou, paras. 36 ff.
5. GCEU Case T–660/19 *Universität Bremen/ REA*, 16 December 2020, ECLI:EU:T:2020:633, paras. 9 ff.

Case comments: See section 3.2.

Additional material: References to additional material should be cited as is common in the respective jurisdiction (cf. cases) but should always make use of proper translations so as to provide guidance for the reader concerning the nature of the materials used. Additional context should be given in the text.

1. Explanatory remarks on the government bill proposing § 321 StGB, RV 30 BlgNR 13. GP 472.
2. Parliamentary Motion to amend the Austrian Civil Code (ABGB), IA 130/A BlgNR 17. GP 2.
3. Parliamentary Committee Report on Motion IA 130/A, AB 497 BlgNR 17. GP 1.

*Note:* Institutional authors are cited like individuals irrespective of the legal nature of the item cited. Document numbers can be attached after the document title.

1. European Securities and Markets Authority, ‘Follow-up Report on the development of the Best Practice Principles for Providers of Shareholder Voting Research and Analysis’, ESMA/2015/1887, para. 34.

Proposed legislation is cited like a ‘primary source’ without indicating an author:

1. Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Directive 2007/37/EC as regards the encouragement of long-term shareholder engagement and Directive 2013/34/EU as regards certain elements of the corporate governance statement, Presidency compromise text, 17 March 2015, 7088/15, Art. 3i para. 2.

Bibliography: The submitted paper must contain a full bibliography of primary and secondary sources. See section 4.

## **3.2 Secondary sources**

The first reference to any single source cited has to be a full citation containing all information mandated. When citing contributions to edited books, journal articles or case comments only give the initial page of the contribution. For further examples see section 3.2.1.

1. Holdinghausen, *Dreimal anziehen, weg damit. Was ist der wirkliche Preis für T-Shirts, Jeans und Co?* (Frankfurt am Main, 2015) 11.
2. Brinckmann, ‘Publizitätsregime’ – Grundlagen, in Veil (ed.), *Europäisches Kapitalmarktrecht*, 2nd edn. (Tübingen, 2014) 293.
3. Tipold, ‘Nadelstichverordnung und gerichtliches Strafrecht’ (2015) *RdM* 13.

All further references to the same source should be made in short form. For further examples see section 3.2.2.

Pinpoints: Pinpoints come at the end of the citation. Page numbers stand alone, without ‘p’ or ‘pp’. Use ‘para.’ for reference to one paragraph and ‘paras.’ for references to two or more paragraphs. If you refer to an initial page and the following page, give the inital page followed by a space and then by ‘f’. If you refer to several unspecified successive pages, give the initial page number followed by a space and then by ‘ff’. Do not indicate the specific range of pages. This also applies to paragraphs.

When citing contributions to edited books, journal articles or case comments, give the initial page of the contribution followed by the pinpoint in parenthesis.

1. Holdinghausen, *Dreimal anziehen* 11 f.
2. Brinckmann, ‘Publizitätsregime’ 293 (298 ff).
3. Tipold, (2015) *RdM* 13 (14 f).

Case Comments and legal commentaries:

Case comments are only cited when reference is made to the specific comment. Case comments should be designated as such and are otherwise cited as articles in journals. If they lack a separate title, the case name or number serves as a title.

1) Dullinger, ‘Case Comment: Austrian OGH 25 May 2022, 8 Ob 69/21m’ (2022) *JBl* 665 (668 f).

Legal commentaries are cited like book chapters, the section and statute serving as a substitute for the chapter title. *Thus, the respective author should always be indicated regardless of whether full or short reference is given.*

1. Schmidt, ‘§ 132 HGB’, in Schmidt (ed.), *Münchener Kommentar zum Handelsgesetzbuch*, 4th edn., 7 vols. (München, 2016) vol. II, para. 33.
2. Schmidt, ‘§ 132 HGB’, paras. 34 f.

Bibliography: The submitted paper must contain a full bibliography of primary and secondary sources. See section 4.

### ***3.2.1 Examples of full citations***

Books:

1. Kodek and Leupold, *Gewährleistung NEU – Ausgewählte Auslegungsfragen und Umsetzungsoptionen* (Wien, 2019) 44 ff.
2. Kelsen, *Reine Rechtslehre*, 2nd edn., 5th reprint (Wien, 2020) 66 f.

Contributions to edited books ( including ‘Festschriften’ and ‘Gedenkschriften’):

1. Gerads, ‘Relationship between the Convention and the EU’, in van Dijk, von Hoof, van Rijn and Zwaak (eds.), *Theory and Practice of the European Convention on Human Rights*, 5th edn. (Cambridge, 2018) 331 (333 ff).
2. Pöschl, ‘Private Verwalter als Problem des Allgemeinen Verwaltungsrechts’, in Jabloner, Kucsko-Stadlmayer, Muzak, Perthold-Stoitzner and Stöger (eds.), *Vom praktischen Wert der Methode – Festschrift Heinz Mayer zum 65. Geburtstag*, (Wien, 2011) 515.

Legal commentaries in edited publications:

1. Meyer-Ladewig and Huber, ‘Artikel 2 EMRK’, in Meyer-Ladewig, Nettesheim and von Raumer (eds.), *EMRK*, 4th edn., (Baden-Baden, 2017) para. 3.
2. Wess, ‘§ 8 StPO’, in Birklbauer, Haumer, Nimmervoll and Wess (eds.), *Linzer Kommentar zur Strafprozessordnung*, (Wien, 2020) paras. 1 f.

Journal articles:

1. Schwertner, ‘The EU's new minimum wage Directive: Implications for Austria?’ (2022) *VLR* 30 (32).
2. Leloup, ‘Not Just a Simple Civil Servant: The Right of Access to a Court of Judges in the Recent Case Law of the ECtHR’ (2023) *ECHR LR* 23 (40 f).

Commentaries on cases in journals (‘Entscheidungsanmerkungen’ and ‘Glossen’):

1. Knyrim, ‘Case Comment: Unfallaufnahmen durch Dash-Cam – zulässig oder doch nicht?’ (2023) *ZVR* 201 (205).
2. Lutz-Bachmann and André, ‘Case Comment: CJEU 21 March 2023, Case C–100/21 *QB/Mercedes-Benz Group AG, vormals Daimler AG*’ (2023) *EuZW* 378 (385).

Internet sources:

1. Sußner, ‘Intersectionality in Climate Litigation’ (*Verfassungsblog*, 20 April 2023) <https://verfassungsblog.de/intersectionality-in-climate-litigation/> accessed 8 May 2023.
2. Casteljin and Yanev, ‘Arbitrary Detention in Non-International Armed Conflicts: A Tale of Two Hague Courts’ (*EJIL:Talk!*, 18 April 2023) <https://www.ejiltalk.org/arbitrary-detention-in-non-international-armed-conflicts-a-tale-of-two-hague-courts/> accessed 8 May 2023.

### ***3.2.2*** ***Examples of subsequent citations***

Books:

1. Kodek and Leupold, *Gewährleistung NEU* 44 ff.
2. Kelsen, *Reine Rechtslehre* 66 f.

Contributions to edited books (including ‘Festschriften’ and ‘Gedenkschriften’):

1. Gerads, ‘Relationship’, 331 (333 ff).
2. Pöschl, ‘Private Verwalter’, 515 (523 ff).

Legal commentaries in edited publications:

1. Meyer-Ladewig and Huber, ‘Artikel 2 EMRK’, para. 3.
2. Wess, ‘§ 8 StPO’, paras. 1 f.

Journal articles:

1. Schwertner, (2022) *VLR* 30 (32).
2. Leloup, (2023) *ECHR LR* 23 (40 f).

Commentaries on cases in journals (‘Entscheidungsanmerkungen’ and ‘Glossen’):

1. Knyrim, (2023) *ZVR* 201 (205).
2. Lutz-Bachmann and André, (2023) *EuZW* 378 (385).

Internet sources:

1. Sußner, ‘Intersectionality’ (*Verfassungsblog*, 20 April 2023).
2. Casteljin and Yanev, ‘Arbitrary Detention’ (*EJIL:Talk!*, 18 April 2023).

# **4 Bibliography**

The submitted paper must contain a *full bibliography of primary and secondary sources* used. The bibliography uses standard long form citation and corresponds with the first reference to the source in the main body of textwith the following adjustments in the bibliography: 1. Full references of journal articles have to include both the unabbreviated and the abbreviated title of the journal. 2. Start with the last name and add the first name seperated by a comma. 3. Give the first name and the last name of editors. 4. Do not put a full stop after the citation.

Bibliography (ordered by surname):

1. Tipold, Alexander, ‘Nadelstichverordnung und gerichtliches Strafrecht’ (2015) *Recht der Medizin* *(RdM)* 13

## **4.1 Example of a bibliography of primary sources**

1. Austrian OGH 15 March 2012, 6 Ob 28/12d
2. *Juszczyszyn v Poland* App no 35599/20 (ECtHR, 6 October 2022)
3. CJEU Case C–110/21 P *Universität Bremen/ REA*, 14 July 2022, ECLI:EU:C:2022:555
4. CJEU Case C–110/21 P *Universität Bremen/ REA*, 24 February 2022, ECLI:EU:C:2022:133, Opinion of AG Emiliou
5. Explanatory remarks on the government bill proposing § 321 StGB, RV 30 BlgNR 13. GP 472
6. Parliamentary Motion to amend the Austrian Civil Code (ABGB), IA 130/A BlgNR 17. GP 2
7. Parliamentary Committee Report on Motion IA 130/A, AB 497 BlgNR 17. GP 1
8. European Securities and Markets Authority, ‘Follow-up Report on the development of the Best Practice Principles for Providers of Shareholder Voting Research and Analysis’, ESMA/2015/1887
9. Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Directive 2007/37/EC as regards the encouragement of long-term shareholder engagement and Directive 2013/34/EU as regards certain elements of the corporate governance statement, Presidency compromise text, 17 March 2015, 7088/1

## **4.2 Example of a bibliography of secondary sources**

1. Gerads, Janneke, ‘Relationship between the Convention and the EU’, in Pieter van Dijk, Fried von Hoof, Arjen van Rijn and Leo Zwaak (eds.), *Theory and Practice of the European Convention on Human Rights*, 5th edn. (Cambridge, 2018) 331
2. Knyrim, Rainer, ‘Case Comment: Unfallaufnahmen durch Dash-Cam – zulässig oder doch nicht?’ (2023) *Zeitschrift für Verkehrsrecht* *(ZVR)* 201
3. Kodek, Georg and Leupold, Petra, *Gewährleistung NEU – Ausgewählte Auslegungsfragen und Umsetzungsoptionen* (Wien, 2019)
4. Leloup, Mathieu, ‘Not Just a Simple Civil Servant: The Right of Access to a Court of Judges in the Recent Case Law of the ECtHR’ (2023) *European Convention on Human Rights Law Review (ECHR LR)* 23
5. Meyer-Ladewig, Jens and Huber, Berthold, ‘Artikel 2 EMRK’, in Jens Meyer-Ladewig, Martin Nettesheim, Stefan von Raumer (eds.), *EMRK*, 4th edn., (Baden-Baden, 2017)
6. Sußner, Petra, ‘Intersectionality in Climate Litigation’ (*Verfassungsblog*, 20 April 2023) <https://verfassungsblog.de/intersectionality-in-climate-litigation/> accessed 8 May 2023